This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:				
☐ BLACK BORDERS				
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES				
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING				
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING				
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES				
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS				
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS				
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT				
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY				
□ OTHER:				

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/852,604	05/10/2001	Kirk Fritsche	AUS920010082US1	4902
35525	7590 08/13/2004		EXAM	INER
IBM CORP (YA)			LUU, LE HIEN	
C/O YEE &	ASSOCIATES PC			
P.O. BOX 80	02333		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75380		,	2141	
•	•		DATE MAILED: 08/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · · · · ·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/852,604	FRITSCHE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Le H Luu	2141			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE N - Exten after S - If the p - If NO - Failum Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REIMALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stately received by the Office later than three months after the maximum adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to treply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froutule, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05/10/01 - 08/14/01</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	on of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are with declaim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)					
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 No(s)/Mail Date 08/14/01.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2141

e ga 🐇

1. Claims 1-36 are presented for examination.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1, 8, 12, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As to claim 1, "the client" lacks positive antecedent basis. For purpose of examination Examiner assume applicant meant "the client service".

As to claim 8, applicant claims "wherein the response is returned immediately upon receiving the response" is indefinite and confusing. For purpose of examination Examiner assume applicant meant "wherein another response is returned immediately upon receiving the response from the client service".

As to claims 12 and 30 "the server service" lacks positive antecedent basis. For purpose of examination Examiner assume applicant meant "server service".

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by

Art Unit: 2141

🦄, W

another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

or

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 5. Claims 1-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Van Watermulen et al. (Watermulen) patent no. 6,604,046.
- 6. As to claim 1, Watermulen teaches the invention substantially as claimed, including a method in a data processing system for accessing a client service, the method comprising:

managing a pool of connections to the client service (col. 4 line 61 - col. 5 line 8);

Art Unit: 2141

responsive to a request from a user application from a plurality of user applications assigning a client service from the pool of client service instances (col. 4 line 61 - col. 5 line 27);

invoking the request on the client service (col. 5 line 9 - 41); and responsive to receiving a response from the client service, returning the result to the user application (col. 5 lines 55).

- 7. As to claims 2-3, Watermulen teaches freeing the client service back to the pool after invoking the request on the client service; waiting for the response from the client service after the client service has been invoked; and responsive to a timeout occurring while waiting for the response, returning a response to the user indicating that the timeout has occurred (col. 4 line 59 col. 5 line 27).
- 8. As to claims 4-6 and 12, Watermulen teaches the user application is a client application; the client service is an application programming interface to a server process; the server process and server service are located on a remote data processing system (Figures 1-2).
- 7. The method of claim 7, wherein the pool of client services is used to access report services on a server (col. 4 line 61 col. 5 line 8).

Art Unit: 2141

9. As to claim 8-11, Watermulen teaches another response is returned immediately

Page 5

upon receiving the response from the client service; a error message is returned to the

user application after a period of time passes without receiving the response; placing

the request in a queue if there are no free client services within the pool of client

services; a particular client service instance only accepts and processes one request at

a time (col. 3 lines 6-21; col. 4 line 61 - col. 5 line 27; col. 11 line 34; col. 12 line 49)

10. Claims 13-36 have similar limitations as claims 1-12; therefore, they are rejected

under the same rationale.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Le H. Luu, whose telephone number is (703) 305-9650.

The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 7:00 AM to 4:30

PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Rupal Dharia, can be reached at (703) 305-4003. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7240.

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application should

be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Art Unit: 2141

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE").

Or:

(703) 872-9306 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

LE HIEN LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER

August 10, 2004